

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT

*Pursuant to Section 2 of the Foreign Agents
Registration Act of 1938, as Amended*

26 DEC 1976

For Six Month Period Ending _____
(Insert date)

Name of Registrant

Registration No.

The Palestine Arab Delegation

1459

Business Address of Registrant

441 Lexington Avenue, Suite 509, New York, N.Y. 10017

I - REGISTRANT

1. Has there been a change in the information previously furnished in connection with the following:

(a) If an individual:

(1) Residence address	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Citizenship	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Occupation	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(b) If an organization:

(1) Name	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Ownership or control	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Branch offices	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2. Explain fully all changes, if any, indicated in Item 1.

IF THE REGISTRANT IS AN INDIVIDUAL, OMIT RESPONSE TO ITEMS 3, 4, and 5.

3. Have any persons ceased acting as partners, officers, directors or similar officials of the registrant during this 6 month reporting period? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

Name

Position

Date Connection
Ended

4. Have any persons become partners, officers, directors or similar officials during this 6 month reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Residence Address</i>	<i>Citizenship</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Date Assumed</i>
-------------	------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

-
5. Has any person named in Item 4 rendered services directly in furtherance of the interests of any foreign principal? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, identify each such person and describe his services.

-
6. Have any employees or individuals other than officials, who have filed a short form registration statement, terminated their employment or connection with the registrant during this 6 month reporting period? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Position or connection</i>	<i>Date terminated</i>
-------------	-------------------------------	------------------------

-
7. During this 6 month reporting period, have any persons been hired as employees or in any other capacity by the registrant who rendered services to the registrant directly in furtherance of the interests of any foreign principal in other than a clerical or secretarial, or in a related or similar capacity? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Residence Address</i>	<i>Position or connection</i>	<i>Date connection began</i>
-------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------



II - FOREIGN PRINCIPAL

8. Has your connection with any foreign principal ended during this 6 month reporting period?
Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

Name of foreign principal

Date of Termination

-
9. Have you acquired any new foreign principal¹ during this 6 month reporting period? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish following information:

Name and address of foreign principal

Date acquired

~~THE ARAB HIGHER COMMITTEE FOR PALESTINE~~
~~Almansurieh, Lebanon~~

-
10. In addition to those named in Items 8 and 9, if any, list the foreign principals¹ whom you continued to represent during the 6 month reporting period.

The Arab Higher Committee For Palestine
Almansurieh, Lebanon

III - ACTIVITIES

11. During this 6 month reporting period, have you engaged in any activities for or rendered any services to any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9, and 10 of this statement? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, identify each such foreign principal and describe in full detail your activities and services:

The Arab Higher Committee for Palestine, Almansurieh, Lebanon.

Our activities were as follows:

1. Held meetings and conferences with members of United Nations Delegations.
2. Circulated few releases which have been already filed.

¹ The term "foreign principal" includes, in addition to those defined in section 1(b) of the Act, an individual or organization any of whose activities are directly or indirectly supervised, directed, controlled, financed, or subsidized in whole or in major part by a foreign government, foreign political party, foreign organization or foreign individual. (See Rule 100(a)(9)).

A registrant who represents more than one foreign principal is required to list in the statements he files under the Act only those foreign principals for whom he is not entitled to claim exemption under Section 3 of the Act. (See Rule 208.)

12. During this 6 month reporting period, have you on behalf of any foreign principal engaged in political activity² as defined below?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, identify each such foreign principal and describe in full detail all such political activity, indicating, among other things, the relations, interests and policies sought to be influenced and the means employed to achieve this purpose. If the registrant arranged, sponsored or delivered speeches, lectures or radio and TV broadcasts, give details as to dates, places of delivery, names of speakers and subject matter.

Our principal is the Arab Higher Committee for Palestine, Almansurieh, Lebanon. Our activities are principally at the United Nations to win support of United Nations Delegations to the just cause of Palestine Arab people, victims of Zionist aggressions, occupation and usurpation. Our activities in the United States consisted of few releases. Our objectives in the United States are:

- a. Disproving Zionist lies and revealing the truth to the American public about the Palestine problem.
- b. Persuade United States Administration to base its policy in the Middle East on justice and best interest of the United States.
- c. United States stop supporting Zionist illegal aggression and occupation in Palestine and at least be neutral.
- d. Persuade U.S.A. to resist the pressure of Zionist leaders who are conspiring to railroad U.S.A. into a Middle East war which may lead to World War III.

-
13. In addition to the above described activities, if any, have you engaged in activity on your own behalf which benefits any or all of your foreign principals?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, describe fully.

² The term "political activities" means the dissemination of political propaganda and any other activity which the person engaging therein believes will, or which he intends to, prevail upon, indoctrinate, convert, induce, persuade, or in any other way influence any agency or official of the Government of the United States or any section of the public within the United States with reference to formulating, adopting, or changing the domestic or foreign policies of the United States or with reference to the political or public interests, policies, or relations of a government of a foreign country or a foreign political party.

IV - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

14. (a) RECEIPTS - MONIES

During this 6 month reporting period, have you received from any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement, or from any other source, for or in the interests of any such foreign principal, any contributions, income or money either as compensation or otherwise?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, set forth below in the required detail and separately for each foreign principal an account of such monies.³

<i>Date</i>	<i>From Whom</i>	<i>Purpose</i>	<i>Amount</i>
From July to December 1976	Arab Higher Committee for Palestine almansuriel, Lebanon	expenses of office and salary	110,389.00
		sale of literature and contribution	3,641.00

Total 114,030.00

14. (b) RECEIPTS - THINGS OF VALUE

During this 6 month reporting period, have you received any thing of value⁴ other than money from any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement, or from any other source, for or in the interests of any such foreign principal?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Name of foreign principal</i>	<i>Date received</i>	<i>Description of thing of value</i>	<i>Purpose</i>
--------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	----------------

³ A registrant is required to file an Exhibit D if he collects or receives contributions, loans, money, or other things of value for a foreign principal, as part of a fund raising campaign. See Rule 201(e).

⁴ Things of value include but are not limited to gifts, interest free loans, expense free travel, favored stock purchases, exclusive rights, favored treatment over competitors, "kickbacks," and the like.

15. (a) DISBURSEMENTS - MONIES

During this 6 month reporting period, have you

(1) disbursed or expended monies in connection with activity on behalf of any foreign principal named in Items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement? Yes ☒ No ☐

(2) transmitted monies to any such foreign principal? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, set forth below in the required detail and separately for each foreign principal an account of such monies, including monies transmitted, if any, to each foreign principal.

Date	To Whom	Purpose	Amount
From July to December 1976	Issa Nakhleh	Salary	\$ 3,000.00
	Various temporary help (Bye, Clements, Bahoud, Rajian, Abdo, Sarkis, Abadir)		2,691.00
	Rent, Electricity & taxes		2,807.00
	Stamps & mailing		1,489.00
	Books & newspapers		117.17
	Entertainment		128.71
	Telephones & cables		1,230.18
	Stationery & printing		1,582.82
	Miscellaneous		26.00

Total \$14,868.73

15. (b) DISBURSEMENTS - THINGS OF VALUE

During this 6 month reporting period, have you disposed of anything of value⁵ other than money in furtherance of or in connection with activities on behalf of any foreign principal named in items 8, 9 and 10 of this statement?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Date disposed</i>	<i>Name of person to whom given</i>	<i>On behalf of what foreign principal</i>	<i>Description of thing of value</i>	<i>Purpose</i>
--------------------------	---	--	--	----------------

(c) DISBURSEMENTS - POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

During this 6 month reporting period, have you from your own funds and on your own behalf either directly or through any other person, made any contributions of money or other things of value⁵ in connection with an election to any political office, or in connection with any primary election, convention, or caucus held to select candidates for political office? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, furnish the following information:

<i>Date</i>	<i>Amount or thing of value</i>	<i>Name of political organization</i>	<i>Name of candidate</i>
-------------	-------------------------------------	---	------------------------------

V - POLITICAL PROPAGANDA

(Section 1(j) of the Act defines "political propaganda" as including any oral, visual, graphic, written, pictorial, or other communication or expression by any person (1) which is reasonably adapted to, or which the person disseminating the same believes will, or which he intends to, prevail upon, indoctrinate, convert induce, or in any other way influence a recipient or any section of the public within the United States with reference to the political or public interests, policies, or relations of a government of a foreign country or a foreign political party or with reference to the foreign policies of the United States or promote in the United States racial, religious, or social dissensions, or (2) which advocates, advises, instigates, or promotes any racial, social, political, or religious disorder, civil riot, or other conflict involving the use of force or violence in any other American republic or the overthrow of any government or political subdivision of any other American republic by any means involving the use of force or violence.)

16. During this 6 month reporting period, did you prepare, disseminate or cause to be disseminated any political propaganda as defined above? Yes ☒ No ☐

IF YES, RESPOND TO THE REMAINING ITEMS IN THIS SECTION V.

17. Identify each such foreign principal.

The Arab Higher Committee for Palestine
Almansurieh, Lebanon

⁵ Things of value include but are not limited to gifts, interest free loans, expense free travel, favored stock purchases, exclusive rights, favored treatment over competitors, "kickbacks," and the like.

18. During this 6 month reporting period, has any foreign principal established a budget or allocated a specified sum of money to finance your activities in preparing or disseminating political propaganda?
Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, identify each such foreign principal, specify amount, and indicate for what period of time.

19. During this 6 month reporting period, did your activities in preparing, disseminating or causing the dissemination of political propaganda include the use of any of the following:

☐ Radio or TV broadcasts ☐ Magazine or newspaper articles ☐ Motion picture films ☐ Letters or telegrams
☐ Advertising campaigns ☒ Press releases ☐ Pamphlets or other publications ☐ Lectures or speeches

☐ Other (specify) _____

20. During this 6 month reporting period, did you disseminate or cause to be disseminated political propaganda among any of the following groups:

☒ Public Officials ☒ Newspapers ☐ Libraries
☒ Legislators ☒ Editors ☐ Educational institutions
☐ Government agencies ☐ Civic groups or associations ☐ Nationality groups

☐ Other (Specify) U.S. Citizens, UN Missions, Embassies in Washington, D.C.

21. What language was used in this political propaganda:

☒ English ☐ Other (specify) _____

22. Did you file with the Registration Section, Department of Justice, two copies of each item of political propaganda material disseminated or caused to be disseminated during this 6 month reporting period?

Yes ☐ No ☐

23. Did you label each item of such political propaganda material with the statement required by Section 4(b) of the Act? Yes ☒ No ☐

24. Did you file with the Registration Section, Department of Justice, a Dissemination Report for each item of such political propaganda material as required by Rule 401 under the Act?

Yes ☒ No ☐

VI - EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS

25. EXHIBITS A AND B

- (a) Have you filed for each of the newly acquired foreign principals in Item 9 the following:

Exhibit A⁶ Yes ☐ No ☐

Exhibit B⁷ Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please attach the required exhibit.

- (a) Have there been any changes in the Exhibits A and B previously filed for any foreign principal whom you represented during this six month period?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, have you filed an amendment to these exhibits? Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please attach the required amendment.

⁶ The Exhibit A, which is filed on Form OBD-67 (Formerly DJ-306) sets forth the information required to be disclosed concerning each foreign principal.

⁷ The Exhibit B, which is filed on Form OBD-65 (Formerly DJ-304) sets forth the information concerning the agreement or understanding between the registrant and the foreign principal.

26. EXHIBIT C

If you have previously filed an Exhibit C⁸, state whether any changes therein have occurred during this 6-month reporting period.

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, have you filed an amendment to the Exhibit C? Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please attach the required amendment.

27. SHORT FORM REGISTRATION STATEMENT

Have short form registration statements, been filed by all of the persons named in Items 5 and 7 of the supplemental statement?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If no, list names of persons who have not filed the required statement.

The undersigned swear(s) or affirm(s) that he has (they have) read the information set forth in this registration statement and the attached exhibits and that he is (they are) familiar with the contents thereof and that such contents are in their entirety true and accurate to the best of his (their) knowledge and belief, except that the undersigned make(s) no representation as to the truth or accuracy of the information contained in attached Short Form Registration Statement, if any, insofar as such information is not within his (their) his (their) personal knowledge.

(Type or print name under each signature)

(Both copies of this statement shall be signed and sworn to before a notary public or other person authorized to administer oaths by the agent, if the registrant is an individual, or by a majority of those partners, officers, directors or persons performing similar functions who are in the United States, if the registrant is an organization.)

Issa Nakhleh

Subscribed and sworn to before me at _____

this 7 day of January, 19 77

(Signature of notary or other officer)

⁸ The Exhibit C, for which no printed form is provided, consists of a true copy of the charter, articles of incorporation, association, constitution, and bylaws of a registrant that is an organization. (A waiver of the requirement to file an Exhibit C may be obtained for good cause shown upon written application to the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Internal Security Section, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530.

A PALESTINIAN VIEWPOINT

"The Arabs are your friends, Zionists are making them your enemies."

by

ISSA NAKHLEH

LL. B. (LOND.) OF LINCOLN'S INN
BARRISTER-AT-LAW
ADVOCATE OF THE PALESTINE BAR
DIRECTOR, PALESTINE ARAB DELEGATION, NEW YORK

الوفد العربي الفلسطيني

The Palestine Arab Delegation
441 Lexington Avenue
Room 509
New York, N. Y. 10017

A PALESTINIAN VIEWPOINT

By Issa Nakhleh

"The Arabs are your friends, Zionists are making them your enemies."

Editor's Note: Sentiments expressed in this article are solely those of the author and are not presented as the views of The Saturday Evening Post.

The casual reader is cautioned not to assume that there is one monolithic Arabic viewpoint and that this is it. It appears to The Saturday Evening Post that Mr. Issa Nakhleh's viewpoint represents that of one faction of the Palestinian Arabs and not necessarily that of Egypt or Saudi Arabia. The key to the Arab-Israeli conflict is the resolution of the Palestinian refugee problem. It behooves Americans to try to understand their point of view. There are statements in the accompanying article with which The Saturday Evening Post editors believe to be false or misleading: for example, the allegations of a "Zionist conspiracy" operating at the highest levels of the American government. The important point is that a leading Palestinian Arab intellectual believes them to be true.

The Saturday Evening Post will publish, in subsequent issues, the views and convictions of the Israelis and other factions party to the Mideast conflict.

The Arab world is in the most important strategic position of our time. It has 75 percent of the known world oil reserves excluding the Soviet Union. The Arab peoples wish to have friendly relations with all nations and cooperate with the United States and western Europe economically and politically. Yet some Arab states were forced to buy weapons from the Soviet Union to defend themselves against Israel, which since 1948 has been aided and abetted by the United States financially, militarily and politically.

One hundred fifty million Muslim and Christian Arabs in the Middle East are the friends and should be the allies of the people of the United States. The Arabs are deeply religious. They are against communism as a philosophy and a way of life. The Arab governments and peoples are your friends and wish to remain your friends.



The only flap is that of the tents as the girls of Marka Arab refugee camp, near Amman, Jordan muster for school in the morning sun. The boys will attend the second shift during the afternoon. Not only learning, but living as well, takes place under canvas.

The Arab world is an excellent market for the products of the United States. At present, it is annually buying products from the United States in excess of \$5 billion, services in excess of \$1 billion, and military hardware in excess of \$2 billion.

The Arabs wish to cooperate with the United States economically by using their wealth to buy U.S. machinery and know-how to build industries and execute development projects to raise the standard of living of their peoples.

Saudi Arabia alone has recently published its Five-Year-Plan budget of \$144 billion. The Saudis prefer to buy American products and cooperate with American companies. It is estimated that at least 60 percent of this colossal amount will be spent in the United States.

This economic cooperation between the Arab world and the United States will earn for the United States many billions

Born in Shepherd's Field, Palestine, and describing himself as a "Christian Arab," Issa Nakhleh was forced to flee his native country in 1948. He is a member of the Palestine Bar, Chairman of the Palestine Arab Delegation, and Permanent Representative of the Arab Higher Committee for Palestine in New York.

of dollars every year. The friendship and cooperation of the Arab world are strategically important and vital to the United States. Why then are the United States administration and Congress doing everything to make the Arabs enemies of the United States?

Threats were recently made by President Ford, Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, and former Secretary of Defense James Schlesinger to take military action against the Arab countries. U.S. marines were trained in California for desert warfare, and the U.S. News & World Report of August 27, 1973 published photos of the maneuvers with an article entitled "Why Marines Are Training in Desert," in which it stated, "As general unease builds up in the Middle East, the U.S. has handed its Marines a new assignment—be prepared, if ever needed, to fight in the desert."

The Committee on International Relations of the U.S. House of Representatives requested the Research Division of the Library of Congress to prepare a feasibility study on seizing Arab oil. This study was published in August 1975 under the title, "Oilfields Military Objectives: A Feasibility Study."

Currently a malicious campaign of defamation and hate is being waged against the Arab governments and peoples in the Zionist-controlled U.S. media of mass communication.

The Zionist falsely accuse the Arabs of causing inflation, economic recession and depression in the United States and the world. Zionist-controlled propaganda is spreading "alarming" news about the transfer of wealth from the United States and other Western industrial countries to the "sheiks." They are spreading the alarm that the Arab oil money will buy United States companies and real estate, thereby posing a great danger to the United States. Articles were published by Jewish and Gentile writers advocating invasion and seizure of Arab oil fields.

This campaign is being waged against the Arabs because Zionist leaders in Israel and the United States plan to use the military might of the United States to destroy

most sophisticated weapons, including the Lance missiles, the F-15, and F-16 jet aircraft, Smart bombs, and most modern counter-measure electronic systems. To the dismay of the then Secretary of Defense Schlesinger and top military brass, Dr. Kissinger also promised Israel the Pershing ground-to-ground long-range missiles.

If this is the price for inducing Israel to withdraw a few miles in an interim agreement, what price will Israel demand for withdrawal—if ever it agrees to withdraw—from the occupied Arab territories since 1967? And what price will Israel demand for agreement to the solution of the Palestine problem?

The United States Is Being Railroaded Into a Middle East War

Since October 1974, Dr. Kissinger has followed a two-faced Middle East policy. On the one side he tried to win Arab confidence, strengthen the United States position in the Middle East, and on the other, to prod President Ford into adopting a stiff position against the Arab world. Both leaders made speeches in Chicago, threatening the Arabs with the use of force. This unleashed a vicious campaign of threats against the Arab world.

Newsweek of October 7, 1974 published an article under the title "Thinking the Unthinkable." The said article exposes the subversive plans prepared by the National Security Council under the chairmanship of Dr. Henry Kissinger, and with full participation of several Zionist and Israeli agents among the experts of the National Security Council. That conspiracy concocted against the Arab nations includes "psychological warfare," "covert operations," and "military intervention."

Dr. Henry Kissinger in his interview with *Business Week*, which appeared December 23, 1974, reflected, as he states, the views of President Ford. He threatened to take military action against the oil-producing countries.

The real power behind this irresponsible Zionist-Kissinger policy against oil-producing countries was demonstrated in a full-page advertisement in the *New York Times* on December 27, 1974, by a group calling themselves "Citizens for a Strong Energy Program." The group quoted Secretary Kissinger, and stated:

"A generation ago the Western world faced a historic crisis—the breakdown of international order in the wake of world war. Threatened by economic chaos and political upheaval, the nations of the West built a system of security relations in cooperative institutions that have nourished our safety, our prosperity and our freedom

ever since. A moment of grave crisis was transformed into an act of lasting creativity. We face another such moment today. The stakes are as high as they were twenty-five years ago. The challenge to our courage and our will is as profound, and our opportunity is as great. What will be our response?"

This group includes the powerful Jewish bankers, Lehman Brothers, Goldman, Sachs and Company, Lazard Freres and Dillon Reed and Company, and includes directors of the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, the NBC, CBS and Metromedia television and radio networks, all controlled by the Zionists. It also includes major United States companies with directors interlocked with the Zionist political organization in the United States.

The Arabs are accused of extorting billions of dollars from the West to destroy the Western world. The Arabs are being pictured as the cause of the economic woes of the Western world. Inflation, recession, unemployment, and all other problems plaguing the economies of the Western world are blamed on the Arabs. The transfer of wealth to the Arabs is portrayed as economic warfare. This campaign of incitement and hate against the Arab world is being waged to brainwash the American people and win them over to the irresponsible, adventurous, criminal Zionist plan to railroad the United States into war against the Arabs.

Policymakers in Washington are pressing "in the back rooms of Washington" for the use of force against the Arabs. In his daily column of January 6, 1975, Jack Anderson stated:

"In the back rooms of Washington, alarmed policy-makers are calling for a showdown with the oil-producing countries before it is too late. They want President Ford to serve notice upon the oil potentates that present oil prices are ruining the Western world and, therefore, constitute hostile action If a peaceful settlement cannot be reached, they believe military intervention will become inevitable. They don't see how the United States can stand by helplessly while the Western world is plunged into economic and political chaos.

Anderson continued:

"From sources privy to the backroom discussion, here are the arguments that will be laid before the President:

—The Western nations simply cannot afford to pay the rigged prices that the oil cartel is now charging

—Recycling the massive oil profits, most experts now agree, will break down the world monetary system. . . .

—Exorbitant oil prices, like bombs, are killing people. . . .

—The oil squeeze has thrown the Atlantic alliance into disarray. . . .

—The United Nations is coming under heavy Arab influence, as nervous nations seek to placate the oil sheiks. Already, the Arabs have been able to use the UN to punish Israel. For example, the UN's cultural arm, UNESCO, arbitrarily cut off aid to Israel and barred Israel from participating in its meetings. Intelligence reports warn that the Arab states are preparing to play a leading role, both financially and politically, in the world organization.

Who are these "alarmed policymakers in the back rooms of Washington," other than Dr. Henry Kissinger and his cohorts of the Zionist and Israeli agents, injected in the United States policy making machinery? This is only Zionist thinking and contradictory to the national interests of the United States.

A United States aircraft carrier recently visited the Arabian Gulf, and the American press was not bashful about stating that this was a warning to the Arab states. We understand that the United States Seventh Fleet is carrying on maneuvers in the Indian Ocean in anticipation of taking possible military action in the Arabian Gulf.

Harper's magazine of March, 1975, published an article entitled "Seizing Arab Oil," by Miles Ignotus, the pseudonym of a Washington-based professor and defense consultant with intimate links to high-level U.S. policymakers. The article advocates a plan for invading the oilfields in Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Bahrain and Qatar in order to break the oil cartel's "stranglehold on the world."

The United States policymakers, who are urging the President to take military action against the oil-producing countries, were urging such aggression only against the Arab countries, and not against Venezuela, Nigeria, Canada, Iran, Indonesia, Ecuador and Mexico; which are also oil-producing countries.

In fact, the total crude oil imported from the Arab countries was only 15.4 percent. How could any fair or sane person ascribe to the Arabs "the economic strangulation of the United States"?

If this vicious propaganda campaign against the Arabs is psychological warfare, it has already boomeranged, because the Arab states are neither impressed nor afraid. This challenge has only strengthened their determination.

Zionist Control of United States Policy Detrimental to United States' National Interests

Zionists are exploiting the United States economic, political and military power to serve the interests of Israel and international Zionism. Israel is an eco-

conomic burden on the United States, yearly draining it of four billion tax-exempt, tax-deductible dollars. The object of the 1975 Sinai Agreement is to secure for Israel \$2 to \$3 billion in U.S. military and economic aid for a period of three years.

This is in addition to the sales of Israeli bonds and to the 300 other small appeals which drain billions of dollars from the United States for institutions, establishments, and political parties in Israel. The annual conventions and frequent meetings held by American Jewish organizations in Israel siphon off additional hundreds of millions of dollars to Israel. It is estimated that Israel costs the United States a minimum of \$7 billion every year.

The United States of America was founded upon the tradition of upholding liberty and freedom. It was founded upon Christian principles. Its moral strength and world political leadership were bolstered by upholding international law and justice. In every international conference during the last 100 years, the United States has opposed colonialism and supported the self-determination of peoples. It has opposed conquest and the acquisition of territory by conquest. The United States now is abandoning all these principles in the Middle East. It is financing and arming Zionist conquest of Arab land.

The Recent Furor About Zionism

On November 10, 1975, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution by seventy-two votes for, thirty-five against and thirty-two abstentions condemning Zionism as a form of racism and racial discrimination. The American Jews staged the most violent protest against this resolution and incited the United States administration and Congress to condemn it. Both houses of Congress adopted resolutions condemning the United Nations resolution.

Many of the American politicians who echoed the Zionist protests do not know what Zionism is.

What Is Zionism?

Zionism is a political movement which was launched by Theodor Herzl in 1896 for the establishment of a "Jewish Commonwealth" in any part of the world. Palestine, Uganda, Mozambique, the Congo, Tripoli, Cyprus, Argentina and the Sinai Peninsula were considered as a prospective Zionist colony. Finally, the Zionists decided upon Palestine. In 1916, Zionist leaders concluded a secret agreement with the British war cabinet which was described by Samuel Landman, Secretary of the World Zionist Organization, in an official pamphlet, *Great Britain, the Jews and Palestine*, as follows: "... the only way ... to induce the American President to come into the war was to secure the cooperation of Zionist Jews by promising them Palestine, and thus enlist and mobilize the hitherto unsuspectingly

powerful forces of Zionist Jews in America and elsewhere in favor of the Allies on a *quid pro quo* contract basis."

The Zionists, having fulfilled their part of the bargain and railroaded the United States into World War I, on April 7, 1917, issued the Balfour Declaration, promising its assistance for establishing "a Jewish national home in Palestine, without prejudice to the civil rights and position of the indigenous population and rights and position of Jews in other countries."

The Peace Conference of 1919, and Article XXII of the Covenant of the League of Nations, recognized the indigenous population of Palestine as "a provisionally independent nation subject to the rendering of administrative assistance and advice by a Mandatory, until they are able to stand alone." In 1919, the population of Palestine was 750,000 Muslim and Christian Arabs and 57,000 Jews. In 1920 Great Britain was granted the Mandate over Palestine. The Zionists influenced the Allied Powers to inject the Balfour Declaration into the Mandate. Instead of leading the people of Palestine to independence as Great Britain did in Iraq and Jordan, and as France did in Syria and Lebanon, Great Britain kept Palestine as a colony and opened the doors of Palestine for Jewish immigration.

From 1919 to 1948, about 500,000 Jews were transplanted into Palestine from Europe, America, Asia and Africa. The people of Palestine protested against Jewish immigration and demanded that Great Britain recognize the independence of Palestine and assist its people in establishing a democratic government. Palestinians revolted five times between 1920 and 1939, British forces used the most inhuman, repressive measures to suppress the resistance of the Palestine people.

In 1939, the British government issued a white paper undertaking to recognize the independence of Palestine, to limit Jewish immigration, and to help the people of Palestine establish a democratic government. From 1939 to 1946, the Jewish minority in Palestine revolted against the mandatory power and used every method of terrorism to change British policy declared in the white paper of 1939.

In 1947, Great Britain submitted the Palestine problem to the United Nations. When the United Nations General Assembly met in a special session in April 1947 to discuss "the future government of Palestine," there were in Palestine 1,350,000 Muslim and Christian Arabs, who were the indigenous population, about 200,000 Jews, partly Palestine-born or naturalized as Palestine citizens, and about 450,000 illegal alien transplanted Jewish immigrants.

On November 29, 1947, the United Nations General Assembly adopted its illegal resolution to recommend the partition of Palestine into a Jewish state, an Arab state, and an international regime for the Jerusalem-Bethlehem area. When it became apparent, that partition of Palestine could not be implemented by peaceful means, the Security Council called for an Emergency Special Session of the General Assembly to "consider further the future Government of Palestine." While the General Assembly was in a special session in May 1948, searching for a peaceful solution, the Jewish alien minority in Palestine, aided by British troops, expelled more than 300,000 Christian and Muslim Palestinians. When the British Mandate ended on May 14, 1948, the Jewish alien minority set up a "Jewish state" in a part of Palestine, extended their area by conquest, expelled another 700,000 Christian and Muslim Arabs, and proclaimed themselves "Israel."

War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity and Genocide Committed by the Zionists Against the Palestinians

The Zionists committed the following war crimes and genocide against the Palestinians:

- 1) Some 3.5 million Palestinians have been deprived of their ancestral homeland by the Zionist invaders;
- 2) The Zionists violated the right of Palestinians to live as a people in their ancestral homeland and doomed Palestinians to live as refugees in exile, or under Zionist inhuman colonial domination;
- 3) Over one-and-one-half million Palestinian refugees subsist and survive on international charity, while Russian Jews, American Jews, Polish Jews, German Jews, and Jews of other nationalities live in the homes of Palestinians and usurp the fruits of the lands of Palestine;
- 4) Zionist terror organizations committed attacks against Palestinians during the British Mandate over Palestine;
- 5) Between 1948 and 1967, Zionist terrorists massacred thousands of Arab men, women and children;
- 6) From 1967 until today, thousands of Arabs have been killed by American-made napalm and phosphorous bombs and missiles;
- 7) Since June 1967, over 750,000 Palestinians were expelled by the Zionist thugs from the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, and over 800,000 Palestinians are living in the newly occupied areas under Zionist domination and oppression. Not one single day passes without Zionists expelling more Palestine families from the occupied areas;

the Arab world. Zionist leaders are conspiring to railroad the United States into a war in the Middle East, even though it may mean World War III.

American Zionists and Israel Control The Congress of the United States

What authority do you need for that fact more than the statement by the former Senator J. William Fulbright, former Chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee of the United States Senate, who stated on the CBS television program "Face the Nation," on April 15, 1973: "The United States Senate is subservient to Israel. . . . Israel controls the Senate. . . . The great majority of the Senate of the United States . . . somewhere around 80 percent . . . are completely in support of Israel, anything Israel wants. . . . This has been demonstrated time and again and this has made it difficult for the government."

According to a recent article in *The Christian Science Monitor* 23, 1975, by Dana Adams Schmidt, Mr. Fulbright stated, "We have subjected ourselves to the will of Israel . . . I cannot think of any similar instance of a large country subjecting itself to the will of a smaller one . . . the Israelis have control of the Congress, but that does not reflect the views of the people."

In a recent interview with CBS-TV network, George Kennan, former U.S. Ambassador to the Soviet Union, stated: "The United States Government represents the interests of the portion of the population which can speak loudest or bring the heaviest pressure on Congress." In reference to the Middle East situation, he stated: "America must recover the full independence of its policy. We have to make a certain declaration of independence from at least the lobbyists in this country before we can treat this question as it should be treated. . . . Once we declared our independence, and once we can act on behalf of the country as a whole, and its interests, then I would like us to show the greatest deference to the need for serving the existence of the state of Israel."

General George S. Brown, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, once expressed his concern about the Jewish lobby in Washington. General Brown is a war hero, a man of great intellectual and moral integrity. He is not a bigot or anti-Jewish; he is an American patriot, and he was expressing by his words something which is also in the minds of millions of Americans. Jewish organizations maligned him, defamed him, and forced him to apologize and to retract his statement. They forced

President Ford to reprimand him. Zionist Senators and Congressmen are now waiting for the opportunity for General Brown to appear before congressional committees to embarrass and harass him further.

When Nelson Rockefeller appeared before a congressional committee for confirmation of his selection as Vice President, he was cornered by Representative Joshua Eilberg (D.-Pa.), who wanted to pin him down in answering questions

about Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization. When Mr. Rockefeller tried to exercise restraint, and did not give the answers which the Congressman wanted, maintaining that this problem is between the Arabs and Israel and must be solved by them, Jewish organizations showered him with letters, and other Congressmen, such as Edward I. Koch (D.-N.Y.), accused him and his family of bias and demanded an explanation.

Senator J. William Fulbright and Congressman John R. Rarick lost their seats in Congress in the last election because they dared to advocate a Middle East policy based on U.S. national interests and criticized the Zionist lobby.

Is the United States still the land of the free? Or is it now a Zionist-controlled, a Zionist-occupied nation? No American citizen, whether he is in the administration or in Congress, or is a private citizen, dares to differ from the Zionist-dictated policy without being blackmailed, defamed, and politically and economically ruined!

When Dr. Kissinger failed in March 1975 to arrange the Sinai Agreement, due to the uncompromising attitude of Israel, President Ford announced that the United States administration would reassess its policy in the Middle East. Seventy-five Senators and two hundred forty members of the House of

Representatives sent letters to President Ford urging on him full support for Israel.

The Zionist lobby in Washington is behind the initiative in several committees of the U.S. House of Representatives and the Senate which are dealing with Arab boycott, restriction of foreign investment, investigation of the multinational corporations, investigation of foreign payments by American companies, and control of foreign arms sales. The object of these committees and the draft bills and amendments which are being studied by them is to enact legislation and to incite American public opinion against the Arabs so as to disrupt the growing economic cooperation between the United States and the Arab world.

The recent outbursts of senators and congressmen, and the resolutions adopted by the Senate and the House condemning the United Nations General Assembly Resolution equating Zionism with racism demonstrate that the United States Congress has become a rubber stamp for the Zionist lobby in Washington.

Top leaders of Congress are influenced by the Zionist lobby through large sums of money (\$20,000 to \$30,000 for each annually) paid to them as fees for making speeches in Zionist meetings, large contributions for the election campaigns, and



Many of the tens of thousands of Arab refugees who cannot return to their homes live scantily in the city of Bethlehem; some even inhabit the surrounding hills of Judea. The bells of the Church of the Nativity (below) ring out their message of peace to all.



by favorable publicity in the Zionist-controlled media of mass communication.

This greatly demeans the United States' stature and position in the world. **United States Middle East Policy Is Based on Zionist Myths and Fallacies**

When President Nixon took office in 1968, United States Middle East policy was 70 percent biased in favor of Israel. It was formulated by the two Rostow brothers, Abe Fortas and Arthur J. Goldberg.

Under the influence of Dr. Henry Kissinger as Assistant to the President, and as Secretary General of the National Security Council, the United States Middle East policy became 100 percent biased in favor of Israel. The U.S. Administration has become the tool of Zionist policy.

Dr. Henry Kissinger usurped the function of the State Department on the Middle East. With forty-four professionals on his staff, including many Zionist agents, such as the notorious Helmut Sonnenfeldt, a Zionist and Israeli agent, Dr. Kissinger was able to inject Zionist fallacies and myths into the thinking of U.S. policymakers through the cunning studies and memoranda prepared by this group for the National Security Council. It is authoritatively reported that the Embassy of Israel in Washington prepared the background of these studies and memoranda. These studies and memoranda made it appear that United States interests in the Middle East coincided 100 percent with those of Israel.

U.S. policymakers accepted the following Zionist myths and fallacies as bases for United States Middle East policy:

- 1) That Israel is the only friend the United States has in the Middle East;
- 2) That Israel is the only democracy in the Middle East;
- 3) That the Arabs are enemies of the United States and are "clients of the Soviet Union";
- 4) That a strong Israel is the best guarantee for the United States' best interests in the Middle East;
- 5) That a strong Israel, militarily superior to all Arab states combined, will create stability in the Middle East;
- 6) That the interests of Israel coincide with the best interests of the United States in the Middle East.

When Dr. Kissinger became Secretary of State, he became the most powerful man in the United States. As Watergate engulfed President Nixon, the real maker of United States foreign policy became the unchallenged Dr. Kissinger. Cartoons depicting Kissinger as the real president filled the American press, as a jest. How many a truth is told in jest!

The October 1973 war between the Arabs and Israel demonstrated the enormous influence and control of Dr. Kissinger on U.S. policy. He extracted orders from President Nixon forcing the Department of Defense to airlift the military equipment and ammunition to Israel and thus saved Israel from defeat. The world cannot forget that he and then Secretary of Defense James Schlesinger and two others met as the National Security Council and put all U.S. armed forces



These future businesswomen are refugee girls in course work at the Ramallah, West Bank vocational training center set up by a United Nations relief agency. A less fortunate compatriot (below) lies stricken with burns suffered in an Israeli attack.



throughout the world on alert, thereby bringing the world to the brink of World War III without a valid reason or justification.

By his "shuttle diplomacy" in the Middle East, Dr. Henry Kissinger was instrumental in concluding disengagement agreements which are nothing but extended ceasefires, with no practical results for a permanent and a just Middle East solution.

During 1974, Dr. Kissinger was instrumental in supplying Israel with airplanes, tanks, electronic defense systems and sophisticated weaponry, with missiles and ammunition sufficient to enable Israel to wage war for three to four weeks. This has enabled the military leaders of Israel to seriously contemplate further aggression against the Arab states under the pretext of waging a so-called "preemptive strike" to destroy the armed forces of Syria and Egypt, and force the Arabs to sign a peace treaty with Israel on Israeli terms.

Dr. Kissinger's objective is not to solve the Middle East problem on the basis of right, justice and international law, but to perpetuate the Zionist occupation of Palestine and help Israel occupy further Arab territories. His objective is to force the Arab states to recognize sovereignty and secure boundaries for the

Zionist invaders and usurpers of Palestine. The 3.5 million Moslem and Christian Palestinians, as far as Dr. Kissinger is concerned, are not human beings, with rights or dignity. They are expendable, as long as he can serve his "Jewish nation."

Several articles appeared in the American Jewish press criticizing Dr. Kissinger. Demonstrations were made against him in Jerusalem. This Jewish hostility to Dr. Kissinger should not be taken seriously nor should it mislead anybody about his real objectives. They were made by short-sighted fanatic Jewish extremists and zealots of the Likud Coalition and the Greater Israel Movement, who refuse to return one inch of territory to the Arabs.

The Israeli strategists and Dr. Kissinger have succeeded in getting President Sadat of Egypt to enter into the first disengagement agreement and later on into the 1975 Sinai Agreement. Their objective is to isolate Egypt from Syria and Jordan by promising Egypt financial and economic aid and making efforts to solve the other issues of the Middle East problem. It will not be very long before President Sadat realizes that he was deceived by Dr. Kissinger.

As price for the Sinai Agreement, Dr. Kissinger promised to give Israel \$2 to \$3 billion annually for three years and the

- 8) In defiance of United Nations Resolutions, the Zionist authorities annexed the Old City of Jerusalem and its suburbs and expelled thousands of Palestinians. Zionists desecrated Christian and Moslem holy places in Jerusalem. Zionists are excavating under and around Almasjid Al Aqsa, thereby endangering the building of this Holy Moslem Shrine. They are criminally planning to destroy Almasjid Al Aqsa and rebuild the Jewish Temple on its site;
- 9) At the present time, over 2,000 Palestine Freedom Fighters are illegally imprisoned, detained, tortured and forced to live under the most inhumane conditions by their Zionist jailers;
- 10) Over 10,000 Palestine families—men, women and children (75,000 people)—were detained in nine concentration camps, mainly in the Sinai Desert, under the most inhumane conditions.

The General Assembly, in 1968, constituted the Special Committee to Investigate Israeli practices affecting the human rights of the population of the occupied territories. The Special Committee submitted a report every year in which it confirmed that the following crimes and violations of human rights were committed by the Zionist invaders in the occupied territories. These crimes and violations of human rights include the following:

1. Collective and area punishment;
2. Deportation and expulsion;
3. Ill treatment of prisoners and detainees;
4. Ill treatment of civilians;
5. Destruction and demolition of houses and buildings;
6. Confiscation and expropriation of property;
7. Looting and pillage.

The Zionist regime in Palestine is racist: The Zionist regime in Occupied Palestine adopted the Nazi and the fascist policy of usurpation, expulsion and domination. The usurpation of the homeland of Palestinians, the usurpation of their homes, properties and assets, and the massacres committed against them by the Zionists constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity and genocide.

The Zionist regime in Palestine, known as Israel, is racist because it is built on the "Talmudic principle" which considers Jews as a super-race above all races and peoples. It is reactionary and racist because it maintains that "Israel" is a state for Jews only in accordance with the definition of Halacha, that is, strict Jewish Law. A Jew is defined as a person born of a Jewish mother or who was converted to Judaism in accordance with the strict Halacha rules. Consequently, there is no place in Israel for a Christian or a Muslim or a Hindu or a Buddhist or a follower of any other

faith.

In a book called *Torah Judaism and the State of Israel*, by Uriel Zimner, published in London in 1961, page 12, it is stated: "*The Jewish People*, Rabbi Judah Halevy (the famous medieval poet and philosopher) explains in his 'Kuzari,' constitutes a separate entity, a species unique in Creation, differing from nations in the same manner as man differs from the beast or the beast from the plant."

Asher Ginsberg, known as Achad Ha'am, one of the great philosophers of Zionism, stated in an article in the magazine *Am Scheidewege*, published in Berlin in 1923, vol. 2, p. 103, the following: "*The steps on the ladder of creation are: minerals, plants, animals, human beings, and, at the very top, Jews.*"

The Zionist philosophy even goes further than that. The creation of a Jewish empire in the Middle East is the prelude to the messianic era.

A Jewish writer from Tel Aviv, using the name of David Cohen as his pseudonym, wrote an article about "Israel's Law of Return," which was published in the *Middle East International*, July 1973, in which he states: "Underlying 'Israel's Law of Return' and its provisions is the assumption that the establishment of the state of Israel signifies the fulfillment of a messianic promise and that the right of return is inherent in a divine law that transcends all other realities or historical processes. According to this view, Jews the world over possess a superior claim to the possession of the land of Palestine, and any injustice or suffering that is caused by Jewish settlement is of lesser importance than the act of reclaiming the Jewish People's historical inheritance."

We urge United States politicians and particularly members of Congress to know what Zionism is before they blindly support this more inhuman and racist movement in the world.

How Can We Establish Peace and Justice in the Middle East?

The Greater Israel Movement, which represents the views of the Likud Coalition of political parties in Israel, stated in 1971 the basis on which peace can be established in the Middle East. The declaration states: "the Greater Israel which extends from Iraq up to Suez is the only powerful state which can ensure peace and stability in the Middle East internally and externally. It is our duty to convey to the world frankly and openly that Israel's aim in marshaling the Jews of the world in Palestine in a concerted manner is to create new boundaries for her, extending from Iraq to the Suez. Then, and only then, Israel will become the bastion of democracy in the Middle East and will be in a position to prevent any disaster."

Menahem Begin, leader of Herut and Likud, always repeats the fol-

lowing statement: "*There will be no peace for the people of Israel or for Israel itself or for the Arabs so long as we are unable to liberate our entire homeland, notwithstanding the signing of a peace treaty.*"

Zionist leaders whipped up the feeling of Jews throughout the world with their fanaticism. Zionist religious leaders in Occupied Palestine and the United States of America proclaim that the Arabs are the aggressors by merely living in these "lands of Israel," and therefore the Zionists were not occupying lands which belonged to the Arabs but liberating the "lands of Israel."

The two chief rabbis of Israel issued a declaration on October 29, 1967, stating, "The land of Israel is the heritage of every Jew and no religious or secular authority, including the Government of Israel, has the power to yield a single inch of it." One hundred American rabbis printed a full-page advertisement in the *New York Times* of January, 1968, claiming that the territories occupied in 1967 "were liberated and returned to the rightful owner, the State of Israel."

Jewish authorities, since 1967, have violated Muslim rights in the Mosque of the Patriarchs in Hebron. Jewish authorities brought hundreds of benches and placed them in the Mosque, built a roof over that part of the Mosque and converted it into a Jewish synagogue. In August 1975, the Israeli authorities finally divided the Muslim holy place: two areas of the Mosque will be reserved for Muslim prayers, and three areas will be used for Jewish prayers only.

The Muslim world has been stirred by the violation of Muslim rights in this holy mosque, and about the news coming from Jerusalem that the Zionist authorities are also planning to usurp part of Almasjid Al Aqsa adjacent to Al Buraq, called by the Jews The Wailing Wall.

These are incontestable proofs that the Israeli authorities have no intention of withdrawing from the occupied Arab territories since 1967 and they are constantly usurping more and more Arab lands and violating Arab rights.

No government in Israel will ever dare face the issue of withdrawal from the occupied Arab lands, or solving the Palestine problem. This means that, as long as the United States supplies Israel with all the money it needs and all the sophisticated weapons it demands, Israel will never agree to work for a peaceful settlement of the Middle East problem, but will continue creating new facts by usurping more Arab lands and Judaizing more Arab areas, and will persist in denying the national, political, civil, religious and proprietary rights, and the right of self-determination for the 3.5 million Palestinians. Israel will continue expelling more and more Palestinians until they have their "Greater Israel" 100 percent a Jewish state.

What is the Attitude of The Palestinians?

The Palestinians have no desire or plans to exclude Jews from Palestine and the Middle East. They have no plans, as the Zionists falsely claim, to throw the Jews into the sea. Indeed, the Palestine plan envisages that the Jews should live as fellow citizens with Arabs throughout the Arab world. Jews can live as fellow citizens in Palestine as well as in Egypt, Syria, Iraq, Algeria, Tunisia and Morocco, as they lived for many centuries.

The 3.5 million Palestinians have national, political, civil, religious and human rights in Palestine, and they are entitled to exercise these rights. The Palestinians always had and still have sovereignty in their ancestral homeland, though flouted or sent into exile, and are entitled to exercise that sovereignty.

What the Palestinians aspire to achieve is constructive, not destructive. It is not the destruction of sovereignty but the regaining of sovereignty. The Zionist regime never had sovereignty. Sovereignty has always been vested in the people of Palestine in spite of their exile. It is not the destruction of a state but the reconstruction of a state. The Zionist regime was never a state in fact or in law. It was a regime of transplanted trespassers and invaders. For a state, you need legitimate existence of a people created by history and by the process of the law—not by invasion, not by conquest, not by trespassing and not by robbery. What Palestinians seek to achieve is the rectification of an international injustice in accordance with their inalienable rights and international law and justice.

The Palestinians have as their objective the reconstituting of Palestine as a free, democratic Republic, where its indigenous citizens of the Muslim, Christian and Jewish faith will form a democratic government. The Democratic Republic of Palestine will guarantee the freedom of religion for all, and will be the Holy Land for all. The Palestinians are willing to treat with magnanimity and humanity all transplanted alien Jews who wish to live with Palestinians as fellow citizens in the democratic State of Palestine and who desire to apply for Palestine citizenship in accordance with the laws of the state. The Palestinians are offering peaceful coexistence to the Jews: the Zionists are offering Palestinians banishment, exile, usurpation, degradation and enslavement. The Zionists want the Jews of Russia, the Jews of the United States, and Jews from every country of the world to come to Palestine to supply Zionists with more mercenaries and more funds to expel and kill more Palestinians. The Zionists want their present illegal racist regime as a 100 percent "Jewish state." Such a reactionary, racist "Jewish state" is a

stigma on the record twentieth century.

What do the Arabs Want From The United States?

Nothing expressed this better than a statement by the late King Faisal of Saudi Arabia. In an interview published on July 6, 1973, in the *Christian Science Monitor*, a staff correspondent stated: "King Faisal of Saudi Arabia warned that it is growing difficult for him to consider cooperation with the United States in the petroleum field unless Washington moves toward a more balanced policy in the Middle East. In an audience with this reporter and another American correspondent at his summer residence in this mountain town, King Faisal said, 'The United States gains nothing from support of Israel, which is a burden to it. The real interest of the United States in this region is to cooperate with the Arabs.' The King added, 'We would like to continue friendly ties with the United States, but this would be difficult unless the United States shows a more even-handed and just policy.'"

A dispatch from Taif, Saudi Arabia, was published in the *New York Times* of August 20, 1973. It states, "King Faisal, sixty years old, who was for many years his country's Foreign Minister, sent Sheik Yamani to the United States last April to convey the message to the Nixon Administration that it was time the United States began listening to Arab protests over increased American armament of Israel, which is viewed as an expansionist country threatening the Arabs. The message was reinforced by King Faisal in a warning in June to executives of American oil companies operating here that United States policy in the Middle East could lead to an oil boycott from which Saudi Arabia could not abstain."

After the assassination of King Faisal, His Royal Highness Prince Fahd Bin Abdul-Aziz, Crown Prince and First Deputy Premier, delivered, on behalf of His Majesty King Khalid Bin Abdul Aziz, a statement explaining the policy of the new government which is a continuation of the policy established by the late King Faisal, and quoted his words as follows:

"We want a world where liberty, peace, cooperation and love prevail. What has been done by our gov-

ernment in the past and what our government will do in the future in the defense of its rights and the rights of the Arab and Islamic nation, utilizing all its potentials, is not contradictory to our call for peace and love, but it is according to the words of His late Majesty when he said that we do not intend to be aggressors and we do not intend to do harm to the rights of any individual or group but at the same time, we will not accept or tolerate aggression, because the desire for peace and reformation does not mean weakness."

The Arabs appeal to the United States to base its Middle East policy upon the best interests of the United States and not upon Zionist and Israeli interests. The United States has important strategic, economic and political interests in the Middle East. It is in the best interest of the United States to cultivate and maintain the friendship and cooperation of the Arab states and peoples in the Middle East. In consideration for this friendship and cooperation, the Arabs only ask that the United States base its Middle East policy on its own national interests, adopt an "evenhanded" policy and strict neutrality between the Arabs and Israel.

A New United States Approach to the Middle East Is Needed

The present United States Middle East policy is based on Zionist myths and fallacies. It is based solely upon Zionist short-term interest and the betrayal of the best United States interests. The step-by-step "shuttle diplomacy" of Dr. Henry Kissinger, the interim agreements and even the Geneva Conference, if ever held, will not bring peace or justice to the Middle East, but will keep the Middle East an arena of war and bloodshed. The Sinai Agreement has not brought the Middle East one step further to peace. On the contrary, by giving Israel the sophisticated weapons it requested, and by giving her \$2.3 billion in military and economic aid, the United States will only be aiding and abetting Israel in its uncompromising attitude, which will not lead to a peaceful settlement of the Middle East problem.

The U.S. politicians say that the United States has a moral commitment to the existence of Israel. What kind of moral commitment is this which aids and abets a racist,

aggressive policy to continue its policy of occupation, aggression and war?

I would like to ask Americans the following questions:

- 1) What interest has the United States in supporting the illegal occupation and usurpation by European and American Jews of the ancestral homeland of 3.5 million Palestinians?
- 2) What interest has the United States in allowing Israel and the international Zionist command to extort from the United States about \$7 billion annually to protect and perpetuate this robbery of an Arab homeland?
- 3) What interest has the United States in muddying the water in Soviet-American détente by an unwarranted interference in Soviet internal affairs, the ultimate effect of which will be a forced immigration of Soviet Jews to Europe, to Israel and to the United States?
- 4) What interest has the United States in giving Israel \$50 million annually to pay for the transport of Soviet Jews to Israel and build for Soviet Jews homes on usurped Palestine lands, or in the occupied lands in the Syrian Golan Heights or Egypt's Sinai?
- 5) What interest has the United States in depleting its arsenals by making Israel a military garrison-state bent on aggression, military adventures and expansion?
- 6) What interest has the United States in making 150 million Arabs in the Middle East its enemies?
- 7) What interest has the United States in losing the Arab world as its market and losing the colossal economic advantages from the economic and financial cooperation with the Arab world?
- 8) What interest has the United States in losing the friendship of 600 million Moslems in the world who are bound to side with their Arab brethren in case of a political and a military conflict between the United States and the Arab world?
- 9) What interest has the United States in undermining its position in the world by isolating itself with Israel in the United

Nations?

- 10) What interest has the United States in threatening the Arab world with military action?
- 11) And last, but not least, what interest has the United States in embarking on an irresponsible, immoral and unjustified military adventure in the Arab world, which may result in unleashing military, political and economic chaos in the world and may lead to World War III?

We respectfully urge the United States administration and Congress to reconsider their Middle East policy.

We call upon our American friends to adopt a new approach to the Middle East, based on the best national interests of the United States and on justice. We appeal to the United States Administration and Congress to adopt the following course:

- 1) Issue a statement about the importance of the economic, political and strategic interests of the United States in the Middle East;
- 2) Declare that the national interests of the United States and its national security dictate that the United States follow an evenhanded policy in the Middle East, based on the following principles:
 - a) Complete neutrality between the Arab states and Israel;
 - b) Suspension of all military shipments to the Arab states and Israel;
 - c) Suspension of all economic and financial aid to the Arab states and Israel;
 - d) The United States, in its position of neutrality, and as a friend of Arabs and Jews, will use its influence inside and outside the United Nations for achieving a just and lasting peace in the Middle East by solving the Palestine problem, the core of this trouble and bloodshed in the Middle East.

The Arabs have never done the United States any harm. It is politically unwise, immoral and inhuman that the United States should adopt a policy which aids and abets Israel in its continuing aggression, expansion and domination in the heart of the Arab world. 23

Professor Martin Buber, the great Jewish philosopher and spiritual Zionist who believed in a bi-national, Arab-Jewish State, said the following in a public address in New York, not long after the First Sianl-Suez War: "THE MAJORITY OF THE JEWISH PEOPLE PREFERRED TO LEARN FROM HITLER RATHER THAN FROM US (SPIRITUAL ZIONISTS) ... HITLER SHOWED THAT HISTORY DOES NOT GO THE WAY OF THE SPIRIT BUT THE WAY OF POWER, AND, IF A PEOPLE IS POWERFUL ENOUGH, IT CAN KILL WITH IMPUNITY..."

Another Israeli, a brave independent Hebrew writer, Nathan Chofshi, said the following on February 9, 1959 in the "Jewish Newsletter" in New York: "WE CAME AND TURNED THE NATIVE ARABS INTO TRAGIC REFUGEES. AND STILL WE DARE SLANDER AND MALIGN THEM, TO BESMIRCH THEIR NAME. INSTEAD OF BEING DEEPLY ASHAMED OF WHAT WE DID, AND TRY TO UNDO SOME OF THE EVIL WE COMMITTED, WE JUSTIFY OUR TERRIBLE ACTS AND EVEN ATTEMPT TO GLORIFY THEM..."

ZIONIST RACISM

The fact that all Jews are not Zionists and all Zionists are not Jews has been well established, and a surprising number of individual Jews and Jewish organizations oppose Zionism and the treatment afforded Christian and Moslem Arabs in Israel and the occupied territories.

Representatives of 75% of the world's population at the U.N. voted to officially declare Zionism as a form of racism. For almost nine years most of the nations of the world, as well as the U.N. itself, have been urging Israel to withdraw from the territories they have occupied since the 1967 war, leaving virtually over a million Palestinian Christians and Moslems homeless.

A recent indictment of Israel by Professor Israel Shahak, Chairman of the Israel Civil Rights League and professor of chemistry at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, was published in **Middle East Perspective**. Professor Shahak's article is entitled "The Racist Nature of Zionism and of the Zionist State of Israel."

(Quote) It is my considered opinion that the State of Israel is a racist state in the full meaning of this term. In this state people are discriminated against, in the most permanent and legal way and in the most important areas of life, only because of their origin. This racist discrimination began in Zionism and is carried out today mainly in cooperation with the institutions of the Zionist movement.

In the State of Israel, one who is not a Jew is discriminated against, only because he is not a Jew, in several areas of life -- the first is the right to live, to dwell or to open a business in the place of his choice. Most of the land in Israel belongs to or is administered by the Jewish National Fund -- JNF -- which is an institution of the Zionist organization, and operates an admitted racist policy: It forbids non-Jews to dwell or to open business, and sometimes even to work on its lands, only because they are not Jews! Such policy not only enjoys here perfect legality (in contrast to a

similar discrimination against the Jews which is illegal in most countries of the world) but is supported by all the instruments of the Israeli rule. In such a manner many whole towns were created in Israel, which are as the phrase goes "clean of Arabs" and this legally, or rather as we should say "clean of Gentiles (Goyim). In other towns, like Upper-Nazareth, only one special quarter is "devoted" to the dwelling of Arabs. Any attempt of an Arab to buy or to rent a flat from a Jew is opposed openly and legally by all the branches of the government (the Ministry of Housing, Municipality, etc.) and also by the illegal opposition of the Jewish inhabitants which is nevertheless supported by the Israeli police. I can only remind you that nobody opposes an operation of the sale or the rental of a flat in Nazareth, if the buyer or the lessee is a Jew; which means, according to the racist definition of this word employed legally in Israel, a human being who can prove that his mother, grandmother, his great grandmother and the grandmother of his grandmother were Jewish. If he can prove this, such an operation becomes all right all of a sudden and nobody opposes it, neither the government nor the inhabitants. There is opposition only if the mother of the buyer is not Jewish. . .

Mr. Muhammad Ma'aruf, an Israeli citizen from the village of Dir-El-Assad, wanted to open a factory in the town of Carmel. This was prohibited to him because of the official reason that Carmel is "out of bounds" to non-Jews. In the end he had to build his factory outside the "pure" boundaries of Carmel. However, there is no restriction at all on any Jew opening a business or residing anywhere in Israel. Those limitations, which operate on the great majority of the Israeli lands, constitute a grave racial discrimination. One can dwell or open a business in any place of his choice but only because his mother was a Jew. An Israeli citizen whose mother was not Jewish can not enjoy this right. He encounters racist discrimination which he suffers every day of his life.

There is no connection between this and what is imposed in the name of "security": This limitation is enforced in a racist way against **all non-Jews**, including those among them who served in the Israeli Army, or even distinguished themselves in it, or were wounded during their service; or, if they are too old, whose sons or other relatives serve in the army. Mr. Ma'aruf is a Druse, and therefore all his family is obliged to serve a compulsory service in the Israeli Defense Force just as Jews are. **But he has not the right, given to every Jew, to dwell in Carmel!** A Jewish thief or robber or murderer, who has completed his sentence, has the right to dwell in Carmel. A "goy" (Gentile), a Druse, a Circassian, a Bedouin, or a Christian who has, let us say, served in the army, was wounded in service and is now an invalid -- that man has no right to dwell in Carmel only because he happened to be born to the "incorrect" mother.

This racist discrimination is not connected with anything describable as "left" or "right" or "hawks" or "doves" inside the Zionist movement. On the contrary. The two most racist blocks in Israel are the Zionist "Socialists" and the "National Religious," and the unholy alliance between them is based on their common support of racism. For example, when the Sebastia "settlement" (demonstration) was carried out (by the right-wingers) the activists of Moked (a Zionist "socialistic" party reputedly left of the Alignment) made a demonstration of their own before the farm belonging to General Arik Sharon, protesting the fact that he employs Arabs there! I am a strong opponent of the policy and deeds of General Sharon, but I consider that (the writer) Amos Oz who had specially "distinguished" himself in the employment of that accusation, is in this case the more dangerous racist, and that it is the full right of Sharon to hire workers for his farm without any distinction of race, religion or nationality.

The worst racists in Israel in this respect are the kibbutzim members, and the most despicable among them in their racism are the members of the "Hashomer Hatzair" kibbutzim, for they are adding a great deal of hypocrisy to their racism. An Israeli citizen who is not a Jew cannot be accepted as a member of any kibbutz, even in cases where a daughter of a kibbutz falls in love with one of its hired non-Jewish workers.

Any discrimination of that kind, if it is inflicted on Jews in other countries, encounters -- and rightly so -- the shout of "Anti-Semitism." But what is the difference? What is the difference between the prohibition inflicted on a Jew to dwell in Saudi Arabia because he is a Jew, and the

prohibition inflicted on a non-Jew to dwell in Carmel because he is a non-Jew? What is the difference between the continuous struggle in the USA to open clubs or neighbourhoods which refuse to accept Jews and the continuous struggle to prevent non-Jews entering whole cities in Israel, for the purpose of living there, or even just for the purpose of opening a business? The difference is that the Zionists in Israel and the anti-Semites elsewhere are really fighting on the same side of the barricade. The Zionist State of Israel does here exactly the same thing that the anti-Semites attempt -- usually without success -- to do in other countries.

What is the "Salvation of the Land," that concept which is pushed into the brains of all the Jewish pupils in Israel from the kindergarten on? The meaning of this term is that, according to the teaching of the Israeli Ministry of Education, a land which was "saved" was transferred to a Jewish ownership. A land which has not yet been "saved" belongs still to human beings who were born of non-Jewish mothers, and so one has to "save" it. Messengers of the Jewish National Fund, who enjoy the most forceful support of the Israeli government and especially of its "security arms," are employed continually in "saving" land both in Israel and in the conquered territories, and in changing it into an additional area of racism.

The best and the newest example is Rafiah Approaches. I do not want to discuss here the problems of the "fair" or "unfair" compensations for the Arabs who were expelled from that area, or the problem of "Jewish work" or "Arab work" in that area. I want only to ask a simple human question: Do the people who lived in that area until a few years ago enjoy the right to dwell in the new racist town of Yamit? Has an Israeli Arab the right to settle in Yamit? The open and the official Israeli answer is: No! Only Jews enjoy the right to live in the racist town of Yamit, and in the other settlements established near it. The reasons for this policy do not interest me. Maybe the Czars of Russia had a good reason when they prohibited Jews from dwelling outside the area of the "Pale" only because they were Jews. But this, too, was racism! Similarly, what is done now in Rafiah Approaches and similar acts of "salvation of land" in any other area, in Israel or in the conquered territories, also constitute acts of racism.

I want to emphasize that this is a racism which tends to infinity so far as the Zionists are concerned: to the "salvation" of all the lands in the Land of Israel, and therefore to the expulsion of all non-Jews from the land which was "saved" in such

a manner. In that respect, Zionism is worse than the Apartheid regime of South Africa. That regime has "divided" the country and has forbidden whites to buy land in a "black" area and forbidden the blacks to buy land in a "white" area. Zionism wants to "save" as much land as it can without any limit, in all areas of the "Land of Israel," and it turns the land that it "saves" into one big apartheid area in which human beings who were born of non-Jewish mothers have no right to live. I see no difference whether the apartheid-area was created by confiscation or by purchase. The most important fact is that it exists. Again the "moderate" Zionists were and are the worst in this respect.

Regarding the question of "the right to work," I will begin here with two quotations. Under the heading, "The Israeli settlement authorities are taking action against the leasing of lands to Arabs." Ma'ariy (3/7/75) tells the following racist story: The Ministry of Agriculture and the Settlement Department of the Jewish Agency have recently launched a vehement campaign to eradicate the plague of land-leasing and orchard leasing to Bedouins and Arab farmers in the Western Galilee. The Director of the Galilee area for the Jewish Agency, Mr. Aharon Nahmani, said that his office sent a circular notice to all settlements, in which they are warned that the leasing of national lands for cultivation by Arab share-croppers, as well as renting of the orchards for picking and marketing by Arabs contradicts the law and the regulations of the settlement authorities and the settlement movements. The management of the Galilee area enjoins the settlements to abstain from this practice, and stresses that last year already, the department pressed legal charges against settlements which did not abstain."

Pay attention please: Because I am a Jew, I am allowed to lease orchards for picking or marketing, but an Arab, only because he is an Arab, is forbidden this! The Ministry of Agriculture of the State of Israel together with the (Zionist) Settlement Authorities will persecute and prosecute the Jewish settlements, for doing things which are completely legal when done between Jews and Jews and become a grave offense when done between Jews and Arabs! And truly enough the settlements were punished. But since in this country "the settlements" are a holy cow, because they are racist, a special privilege was granted to those offenders who "broke the law." They were not brought to court, on the accusation of this most horrible "crime" of absence of racism, but made "a deal" and bought themselves off by

giving "donations" to a mysterious fund! (End of Quote)

JEWISH NEWSLETTER

OL XIV No. 15

JULY 28, 1958

A "Goyim-Rein" Israel

The question "Who Is a Jew?" which has already led to a Cabinet crisis in Israel, (reported JN, July 14) is continuing to agitate public opinion in Israel despite the sharpened political crisis in Lebanon, Jordan and Iraq. The Israeli newspapers Davar (labor) and Hatzofeh (religious) published two letters which reflect the depth of feeling that the question has aroused and illustrate dramatically the reaction of the non-clericalist majority to the efforts of the rabbis to extend their theocratic rule of the country.

One letter was written by an eighteen-year-old girl whose father was a Jew, killed in the first Arab-Israeli war. Her mother is a Christian woman who is not affiliated with any institutionalized religion and does not want to be converted to Judaism. The girl was brought up in Israel from early childhood—her language is Hebrew, her way of life is that of the ordinary Sabra—yet according to rabbinical law she is considered a non-Jewess, and according to the rabbinical identity card, she would be placed in a position of inferiority vis-à-vis other Jews. She feels terribly hurt and confused and asks the Prime Minister to help her clear up the confusion. She writes:

"I am a daughter of a mixed marriage. My mother is not Jewish and doesn't believe in religion. According to the rabbinical law governing the State, I am not considered a Jewess; I cannot, for instance, marry a Jew and am not entitled to burial in a Jewish cemetery.

About a month ago, I received my call-up papers to the Army and will gladly fulfill my duty. But there is a contradiction here. On the one hand, I am required to fulfill my duties to the State, on the other, the law of the State restricts me.

This contradiction, as I see it, opposes the basic principles of our democracy and I therefore ask you to answer me and help me to understand this problem, to explain it and to explain the contradictions."

Ben Gurion's reply, published in the same paper, is a mixture of fatherly concern and of an elder statesman's philosophy. He assured the girl that "the rabbis do not yet rule Israel and I am sure that they will never rule it. In the eyes of all of us, you are a Jewish girl like all our other daughters. But the law of marriage is at the present moment tied to the laws of religion—there are very important reasons for that—and I am afraid that you cannot marry according to your will." As for the contradiction, he said: "Our public lives and our private lives are not completely devoid of contradictions, but we shall overcome them. Do not allow your feelings to be swayed by these temporary and formal contradictions and do not aggravate yourself unduly."

The second letter was written by a Christian mother of a mixed marriage, one of the many hundreds who have recently arrived in the country with their Jewish husbands and children. It was addressed to the religious deputies in the Knesset and is no less pathetic than the letter of the young girl:

"I beg of you, my dear sirs," she writes, "to answer whether there is a place in the sun for my child. Please tell me where there is a spot in the world for children who have suffered in Diaspora lands as Jews because their father is a Jew, and are being discriminated against in Israel because their mother is a non-Jewess? Why won't you recognize them as Jews just because their mother is not a religious woman and does not want to be affiliated with any religious body?"

The reply of the religious leaders lacks the warmth and human touch of Ben Gurion's answer. It is cold, dogmatic, callous to the point of brutality and frightening for the depth of fanaticism it reveals. It reads in part:

"Mrs. Harnish has to understand that if her position as a mother is complicated, our position as a nation is still more so. If a person does not wish to be converted to Judaism he can select another place in the world to live. But for the Jewish people who want to preserve their historic character, there is no other place under the sun except Israel.

"We have come here to live our own life without interference from other people. We understand very well the anguish of Mrs. Harnish as a mother who is afraid for the fate of her child. But she too, has to understand us, and to understand means not to stand in our way, not to create new complications. No one will force Mrs. Harnish to convert her son to Judaism but if she wants that her son be treated on equal terms with Jews, she must make it possible for him to become a real Jew and a son of the Jewish people."

ISRAEL FOR JEWS ONLY

In short, the leaders of the religious parties in the Knesset openly declare that *Israel is a State for Jews only*. The Jews have come there in order to live their lives in their own way and will not permit people of other faiths to interfere with that supreme aim. Those non-Jewish people who happen to be in Israel must either conform fully to Jewish life to the extent of embracing Judaism, or they must leave the country.

The direct implication of this is a confirmation of the racist theory that mixing, blending or even co-existence of people of different religions and nationalities is not possible, nor desirable in Israel; that Jews and non-Jews will never be able to live in

harmony the only solution to their problems complete isolation. Israel must become another Ghetto, a one hundred-percent Jewish society as "Goyim-rein," as nationalistic Germany was "Juden-rein."

With one difference: the test of the Master Race in Israel is religion, while in Germany it was race. The chauvinistic principle is the same. It is the principle of the monolithic state where one people, one race and one religion must reign supreme in the land without interference from "strangers."

And this is the messianic "ideal" of the religious leaders of a people who for 4,000 years have lived and 85% of whom still live among "strangers."

william sukerman

With My Own Eyes By Felicia Langer

EPILOGUE

In this book I have presented what I have seen with my own eyes in the years of Israeli rule in the Arab territories conquered in the war of June 1967.

There are still some people who claim that the occupation is liberal and humane. Here are some official figures concerning the occupation that speak for themselves. Before the Israeli occupation there were about one and a half million people in the territories. In the course of the war, and immediately after it, about half a million fled or were expelled from the occupied territories. This includes the Golan Heights population. More than fifty Israeli settlements have been established in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, in northern Sinai and the Golan Heights, settlements which are prohibited by the Geneva Conventions. For instance, in the requisitioned land near Raffah eight Jewish settlements have been established. They constitute a belt of land separating the Gaza Strip from the Sinia peninsula. This involved the expulsion of 1,200 Bedouin families from their homes. The total number of houses destroyed reached almost 18,000. Thousands of detainees are rotting in prisons, and of late there has been a new wave of arrests without charges or trial.

The progressive forces in Israel are convinced that a just and stable peace in the Middle East can be reached only through an Israeli withdrawal from the territories occupied in the June 1967 war and by honouring the national rights of the Palestinian people. They are convinced that on this basis the independence and security of the State of Israel, in accordance with the true national interests of the people of Israel, would be ensured. The key for opening a new chapter in the Arab-Israeli relationship lies in a drastic change of the official Israeli policy.

The progressive forces in Israel are struggling against the occupation and are striving for peace. They are fighting the oppression in the occupied Arab territories, the trampling of human rights, and the violation of the UN Charter and the Geneva Conventions.

In this book I have described the experiences and the facts which I have encountered in the course of my work as a lawyer. I hope that this book will contribute in the struggle towards the opening of a new chapter in Arab-Israeli relations on the basis of justice for all peoples.

This material is filed, under the Foreign Agents' Registration Act, with the Department of Justice, where the required statement of the Palestine Arab Delegation, as an agency of the Arab Higher Committee for Palestine, is available for inspection. Registration does not imply approval or disapproval of this material by the United States Government.

NOTICE

Title